

Development of Analysis and Estimation Technology for Characterization of Fuel Debris (Development of Estimation Technology of Aging Properties of Fuel Debris)

Final report for FY 2019 and 2020



The results obtained in this work can be used for subsequent retrieval of FD from PCV/RPV of F1 NPS units, design and development of equipment for retrieval, treatment, transportation and storage of FD. Predicted effects of aged FD could be used for safety assessment and radioactive waste treatment for related research and development projects of the Mid-and-Long-Term Roadmap

Project Scope



Project Schedule 2019-2021





Corium model samples manufacturing

Samples Manufacturing

6 types of compositions of large-sized U-containing cold samples manufactured (> 300 g)

Large-sized cold samples fragmented into small-sized "cold" samples

Sample characterization by SEM / EDX, XRD, XRF methods and chemical analysis

Set of 45 "hot" samples manufactured by doping cold samples with ²³⁸Pu 4% wt proportional to U content of composition

Party of "cold' smallsized samples transported to **RIAR**

790+ Cold samples manufactured (containing ²³⁸U)

chemical simulation of accelerated oxidation (by adding appropriate amount of H₂O₂ and nitriding a contact solution) & leaching (accelerating dissolution mechanism by increasing temperature and SA/V)

Set of 45 "hot" samples manufactured

(containing ²³⁸U and ²³⁸Pu)

to verify the chosen method of aging for chemical similarity of aging processes and to estimate the radiation factor impact











POCATON

C5

C4

C2

C1

Compositions & preparation



In elements

	Composition 1	Composition 2	Composition 3	Composition 4	Composition 5	Composition 6
Simulated plant		Ful (Chemical	kushima Daiichi N content changes	PS gradually)		TMI-2
U	16.0	25.5	30.8	36.0	36.0	65
Zr	5.6	7.7	8.8	9.9	9.9	15
Fe	2.7	3.0	3.4	3.8	3.8	7
Cr	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	
Ni	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	
Si	22.4	17.9	15.3	12.6	12.6	-
Са	6.4	5.1	4.3	3.6	3.6	
Al	6.4	5.1	4.3	3.6	3.6	
0	39.4	34.4	31.6	28.9	28.9	13
Quench rate	rapid	rapid	rapid	slow	rapid	rapid
Melt date	14/12/2019	17/12/2019	23/12/2019	12/11/2019	23/10/2019	26/12/2019
Facility	RASPLAV-4	RASPLAV-4	RASPLAV-4	RASPLAV-2	RASPLAV-2	RASPLAV-3





- **Beating and melting** the charge material at a rate of 10 °C/s
- Refilling the remaining charge material, adjusting the parameters of the inductor and the position of the crucible (slight overheating over the liquidus T)
- **OBJ Homogenizing** isothermal exposure of the melt (30 min)
- 4 Measurement of the depth of the molten pool, sampling
- Cooling by switching off power of high-frequency generator (rate of 10 °C/s)

7

Samples compositions

Total composition analysis



	Composition 1	Composition 2	Composition 3	Composition 4	Composition 5
Corium: concrete mass ratio	30 : 70	44 : 56	52 : 48	60 : 40	60 : 40
Actual corium:	26.4±1.3	37.1±0.8	43.7±0.5	50.5±6.8	49.0±1.5
concrete	:	:	:	:	:
mass ratio	73.6±4.0	62.9±2.0	56.3±0.9	49.5±7.4	51.0±5.2
Cooling rate °C/s	15 ± 8	12 ± 5	10 ± 4	$0.1 \rightarrow 1.5$	12 ± 2
Cooling rate, C/S	(quenching)	(quenching)	(quenching)	(slow cooling)	(quenching)
Pycnometric density, g/cm ³	3.77	3.95	4.78	4.89	4.90





(U,Z))O2 dendrites (light)

8

SEM/EDX analysis

Oxidic silicate-enriched sample specific microstructure (Composition 3)



Oxidic-metallic sample specific microstructure (Composition 6)



Amorphous silicate phase (U,Zr)O₂ dendrites

View field: 18.9 µm SEM MAG: 18.0 kx Date(midly): 02/08/20 Date(midly): 02/08/20 Fe Zr(U)Fe2+x

WD: 15.19 mm

SEM HV: 30.0 KV

SS

Jm

< x2

VEGA3 TESCAN

Metallic part 20 µm HUCHSTRITY







Cold Samples Manufacturing Results

Corium : Concrete ratio change





VEGAS TESCA

ИШ СПЕГТИЛУ

SEM HV: 30.0 kV

Det: BS

5° µm

10

5⁼um

Hot samples

Phase study. Composition №3

SE

(U,Pu,Zr)O2

Amorphous phase based on SiO₂



BSE

11

Aging Experiments

Accelerated Aging Methodology





- Secondary phases and dust particles generation
- Phase content change
- Factor of oxidation of U (IV) \rightarrow U (VI)



- (I) Storage inside PCV FD water cooling, nitrogen atmosphere (ratio $N_2:O_2=100/1$)
- (II) **Retrieval** water cooling and purge of nitrogen (ratio $N_2:O_2=82/18$)

(III) Long-term container storage of extracted FD — dry condition and atmosphere



Accelerated Aging Methodology

Dissolution experiment implementation scheme



(I) Storage in water + N ₂ a	Itmosphere		(II) Sto	rage in	water +	air atmosph	ere	
Nitrated water			Deionize	ed water				
 Initial characterization Ox-Red state (Mossbauer Method) Density Porosity Microhardness Chemical elements distribution (SEM/EPMA) Phase composition (XRD) 	Monolith sam Sampling on 3 $T=25, 50, 90 \degree$ SA/V = 3 cm ⁻¹ Extended test Powdered sam Sampling: 0.5 25, 50 °C SA/V =10 and	ples , 7, 14, 2 C ss: disso nples , 1.5, 4, 2 100 cm ⁻¹	1, 28 day Iution 56	s 5, 84 days 72 hrs	s with T=9(0, 120 °C		H ₂ O preparation • Demineralization • Deaeration $(CO_2 \uparrow)$ • Sealing • Cooling • N ₂ saturation (for (I) • Storage
		рН 4,1	7	9,18	H ₂ O ₂ m 0,1	mol/l 0,3		H ₂ O characterization
	Samples/So • Element compos • Chemical elemer • Phase composition	lution cha ition (ICP- <i>I</i> its distribut on (XRD)	aracteriza AES, ICP-C ion (SEM/E	tion: DES); EPMA);				14

Accelerated Aging Methodology

Dry storage experiment implementation scheme



(III) Storage air atmosphere



Leaching tests, mass loss

Composition 1. Deionized water, 28 days, T=90°







Composition 3. Deionized water, 28 days, T=90°





- The normalized mass losses of spinel components, solid solutions of uranium and zirconium (U, Zr, Fe, Cr, Ni) at elevated temperatures (90°C) are very small, as well as the yield of concrete components at temperatures of 25 and 50°C
- For 1-5 compositions in an acidic environment (pH = 4), the maximum mass loss is observed for alkaline-earth Ca; in an alkaline environment (pH = 9), the maximum mass loss of Si and Al
- Normalized losses of the elements of two parallel samples have similar values

Figures show graphs of the dependence of the normalized mass losses from time of the glass phase components (Si, Ca, Al) during leaching (T=90 °C) for two parallel samples (for pH 9 (blue), pH 4 (red), pH 7 (green).

Leaching tests, pH, results

Composition 3. Deionized and nitrided water, 28 days, pH=4,7,9 T=25, 50,90°





- **РОС**АТОМ
- During the leaching of monolithic samples of 1-5 compositions in all the studied conditions of the aqueous medium, the amorphous component dissolves and passes into contact solutions of Si, Ca, Al. Normalized losses of the glass phase elements, i.e., Si, Al, and Ca have the same tendency for all compositions
- Si is acidic by nature has large normalized losses in an alkaline environment, Ca is an alkaline-earth element and leaches well in an acidic environment, AI is an amphoteric element and, according to our observations, leaches better in an alkaline environment than in an acidic one.
- The effect of nitriding of water during leaching does not appear, the expected decrease in the yield of all elements in the solution under all conditions does not occur.
- Normalized losses increases with an increase in the concentration of H₂O₂ for all elements. The highest value of normalized Ca mass loss.
- In nitrided water, the concentrations of Si and Al were below the detection limit, and the normalized loss of Ca increased by 1 order of magnitude
- Concentrations of U, Zr, Fe, Ni, and Cr in the contact solutions under all leaching conditions (up to 28 days) were low
- No new crystal phases were detected on the surface of the samples after leaching at 90 °C
- Phase content of samples of compositions 1-5 after leaching in all media for 28 days at a temperature of 50 ° C did not change

Graphs show the dependence of the normalized mass losses from time (days 1-28) of the glass phase components (Si, Ca, Al) during leaching (T= 25, 50 and 90 °C) for (for pH 9 (blue), pH 4 (red), pH 7 (green).

analysis. Composition 5, 28 days Surface



18

pH=4, T=90°C





pH=9. T=90°C



T, °C, pH, Concentration of H ₂ O ₂ mmol/L	Al ₂ O ₃	SiO ₂	CaO	Cr ₂ O ₃	FeO	NiO	Total
90°C, pH4	12	73	8	2	5	0	100.0
90°C, pH7	16	56	7	4	16	0	100.0
90°C, pH9	7	28	5	2	54	4	100.0
50°C, 0.3 mmol/L	13	69	8	3	8	0	100.0
Initial	13	65	9	3	10	1	100.0

With pH=4.01 the Si content increases; the formation of a hydrolyzed silicate layer is possible

• When leaching in contact solutions with H_2O_2 , no surface changes are detected after leaching

- When leaching in contact solutions with pH=7 and 9.18, the iron content increases, and the formation of iron-containing phases may occur.
- Processes of formation of surface layers during leaching of monolithic samples for 28 days at different pH values in samples of compositions 1-5 are the same.

Dissolution experiments results, T=90°C



Mass,%	AI2O3	SiO2	CaO	Cr2O3	FeO	NiO	ZrO2	UO2	
initial	8,7	64,5	5,6	0,0	2,6	0,0	5,5	13,2	100
after	5,0	65,0	3,1	0,0	3,5	0,0	7,3	16,1	100





- Sample composition influence on dissolution results is insignificant and could be averaged
- Observed changes in the surfaces of all samples
- Formation of a layer is observed, which covers the surface of the sample with crusts on samples of Compositions 1 and 2, formed by poorly soluble Si and Fe compounds
- On the surfaces of samples of Compositions 3 to 5, a decrease in continuous layers is observed, it covers only specific areas
- Blockage of further leaching processes, which is explained by clogging of the pores of the surface layer by reprecipitated Si
- Composition 6 after leaching in some spectra is completely covered with a layer of iron hydroxide, in other spectra only uranium and zirconium oxides are present. This confirms the heterogeneity of the phase distribution in the Composition 6, and may also indicate that iron is re-deposited on the surface of the sample forming a porous surface layer that does not prevent the further release of the components into the solution

Extended dissolution tests. Composition 1





- Secondary phases layer depth ~0,5 µm
- Ca, Zr, U are not detected in secondary phases
- Secondary phases are hydrated Fe-containing aluminosilicates

Extended dissolution tests. Composition 5







- Dissolution rates decreases and in balance on day 28 for all glass phase components
- Secondary layer depth ~0,2 µm are zeolites (Fe-containing aluminosilicates)
- The phases containing U and Zr are observed. Hypothesis: the silicate-containing re-precipitated phases cover the crystals of solid solutions (U,Zr)O₂ of variable composition
- The surface of the sample has become loose, there are shells that are presumably formed as a result of the dissolution of the glass phase (such as an etched surface)



Extended dissolution tests. Secondary phases









enriched



- Surface layer change occurs mainly due to the dissolution of the amorphous glass phase and the formation of new secondary phases
- Transition to the liquid phase of the most soluble components (Ca, Si, Al, Fe) leads to the appearance of a porous layer formed by poorly soluble compounds of the solid solution $(U,Zr)O_2$
- Secondary phases are formed by oxide compounds of AI, Si and Fe with a small amount of Ni, Zr and Cr. Ca, U, and Zr were not found in the secondary phases
- Main secondary phases are: hydrolyzed silicon oxide $SiO_2*H_2O_1$ compounds of variable composition - ferruginous chlorite groups, bertierine, crystals with a high content of AI and Fe - (AI, Fe₁,)O(OH).
- The thickness of the surface layer in the samples is proportional to the amount of glass phase in the initial compositions. up to 500 nm (in different areas) in composition1, ≈300 nm for Composition 3
- Surface of composition 5 is changed due to the dissolution of the glass phase (by the type of etching), the secondary phases layer is minimal

g/sm² *day

g/sm² *day

Dissolution experiments results. Hot samples



- Composition 3. Deionized water, CH202=0,3 mml/l 28 days. T=90° Si Pu 3.0E-05 3.0E-05 2.5E-05 2.5E-05 2.0E-05 2,0E-05 g/sm² *day 1.5E-05 1,5E-05 1.0E-05 1,0E-05 5.0E-06 5.0E-06 0.0F+00 0.0E+00 28 28 dav 3 10 14 21 dav U+Pu+N U+Pu+N ■ U+Pu+Air U+Pu+Air ■ U+N ■U+Air ■^{U+Air} ■ U+Pu+N ■U+Pu+N ■U+Pu+Air ■ U+Pu+Air Heated Heated U Ca 3.0E-06 1,0E-03 2,5E-06 8.0E-04 2.0E-06 g/sm² *day 6.0E-04 1.5E-06 4,0E-04 1.0E-06 2.0E-04 5,0E-07 0.0E+00 0.0E+00 7 10 14 21 28 day 10 14 21 dav U+N ■U+Air=^{U+N} U+Air U+Pu U+Pu+N U+Pu+N U+Pu+Air U+Pu+Air U+Pu U+ U+Air U+Pu U+Pu Heated Heated Hestor Heated
- Dissolution rates decrease for all components of hot samples. U, Zr, Si, Fe release rates of Pu-samples are higher than U-samples
- Ca, Al, Si release from amorphous phase of 'hot' Pu-samples is comparative to corresponding components of 'cold' U-samples

•

- Hot samples has higher leaching rates of Fe, Cr, Ni, U, Zr, Pu, Am but doesn't depend on Pu concentrations. Hypothesis: it is the result of thermal treatment (doping) and higher porosity
- No secondary phases detected (28 days)
- Surface remains unchanged, no significate phase change (XRD)

Dissolution experiments. General conclusions





- Mass loss of all compositions are within similar ranges
- Composition 3 has higher mass loss
- Glass phase dissolves with Si and Al re-precipitates on surface and Ca releases into solution
- Fe, Ni and Cr supposedly dissolve because found in surface secondary phase layers
- U and Zr not found in secondary phase but in solutions only



Dry storage experiments results





Composition 6. Dry storage 2200 h,





Composition 6. Dry storage 500h. T=150°



• $Fe(OH)_3$, Fe_2O_3 brown rust generation

• Impurities of UO₂, ZrO₂

Composition 6. Dry storage 500h. T=150° Dust generation





Dry storage results. Dust formation



26





- Dust fraction formation is less than 0.016% mass
- Fine fraction is less than 1% of total mass loss
- Fragments, initially exposed to contact with water, were dried, particles of secondary phases were observed out of the samples. Hypothesis: the source of dust can be the secondary phases formed during contact between debris and an aqueous solution



Dry storage conclusions



- The process of dry storage at a temperature of 150 ° C for 2200 h of corium samples did not lead to significant changes in their properties
- No external changes in the surface of the MCCI samples were found. On the surfaces of composition 6, the presence of "brown rust" was observed.
- No changes in the elemental and phase composition were found
- Fragments, initially exposed to contact with water, were dried, particles of **secondary phases** separated from the samples. Thus the source of dust can be the secondary phase formed upon contact between debris and an aqueous solution
- The entire volume of the hydrated layer formed during wet storage is potential volume of dust formation during further treatment and dry storage (considered as prediction model assumption)



Prediction model development

Development of prediction model

Basic assumptions

FD properties change:

- 1) Estimation of the degradation (leaching) depth of the sample surface
- 2) Calculation of the volume of formation of secondary mineral phases on the surface

When the sample comes into contact with an aqueous solution, the process of leaching of components from the matrix is activated. Sample properties change only in the near-surface layer



Secondary mineral phases – potential source of dust formation





Experimental program summary assumptions

1. Influence of the composition with the rate of release of the components into the solution

No effect of compositions 1-5 on the rate of release of the components into the solution was found.

Experimental estimates of the rate of exit of components from compositions 1-5 can be averaged.

Composition 6 was considered separately from the others, since it consists exclusively of hardly soluble oxides.

2. What components are leached from the samples into the solution?

The components of the amorphous component **(Ca, Si, Al)** intensively pass into the aqueous solution. At the same time, the oxide (crystal) does not actually dissolve even at higher temperatures.

The behavior of compositions 1-5 on contact with an aqueous solution can be considered as the behavior of a two - phase system (highly-and low-soluble phases).

Only **Fe** and **U** pass from composition 6 to the aqueous solution.





Experimental program summary assumptions

3. pH influence on the leaching rate

The total flow of the components into the aqueous solution increases at pH = 4 and pH = 9, compared to pH = 7.

Acid-base conditions determine the composition of the dissolved components: at pH = 9, Al and Si are released into the solution, at pH = 4, Ca is dissolved.

Under different acid-base conditions, different secondary phases and different volumes of the dusty fraction can be expected to form.

4. Temperature influence on the leaching rate

The total flow of components into the solution increases non-linearly with increasing temperature.

The acceleration of aging was estimated by interpreting laboratory experiments and using analytical dependencies. Acceleration: from 25 to 50 °C – 8 times; from 25 to 90 °C - 150 times, from 25 to 120 °C - 900 times.





31



Experimental program summary assumptions

5. Air atmosphere influence on the leaching rate

In experiments on the interaction of fuel-containing samples with aqueous solutions, it was found that the yield of components in nitrided solutions is slightly higher than in decinized solutions.

A higher flow in a nitrided environment is formed by **Ca.** In this case, the **Si** and **AI** fluxes into the aqueous solution are the same in the nitrided and deonized environment.

6. Secondary phases

On the surface of fuel-containing samples of compositions 1-5 exposed to aqueous solutions, the formation of a thin (several microns) layer of secondary phases was recorded.

According to the results of thermodynamic calculations and SEM analysis, the secondary phases are represented by zeolites and mica minerals.

A rapid formation of a brown secondary phase was recorded on the surface of the sample of composition 6. According to the calculated and SEM data, the phases are represented by iron oxides.





Experimental program summary assumptions

7. Aging of "cold" and" hot " samples: comparison

The rate of release of components (Ca, Al, Si) from the amorphous component of "hot" samples into an aqueous solution is comparable to the flow of these components from non-draped ("cold") samples.

From the Hot samples there is an intensive release into the solution of **Fe, Cr, Ni, U, Zr, Pu, Am**. Due to this, the total rate of dissolution of the hot samples is significantly higher than that of the cold.

It is assumed that the intensive dissolution of the hot samples occurs due to the peculiarities of their structure and preparation modes.





Experimental program summary assumptions



8. Dissolution of powdered samples

The features of leaching of powdered samples and monoliths are similar. (Composition of leachable components, relation to pH and temperature). Experiments with powders allowed us to observe the leaching process at normal temperatures (in experiments with monoliths at normal temperature, the yield of the components was absent).

9. Dry storage

During dry storage, no changes were recorded on the surface of the original monolithic samples with compositions 1-5. When drying the fragments initially exposed to contact with the aqueous medium, the particles represented by the secondary phases were separated from the samples. Thus, the source of dust can be a secondary phase formed during the contact of the debris-aqueous solution.

A brown coating (probably iron hydroxides) appears on the surface of composition 6.

Prediction model approach

Model 1: Thermodynamics+Kinetics (Chemical)

Multicomponent thermodynamic model of surface dissolution



Purpose: Processing laboratory experiments. Consideration of the influence of external factors (T, pH, composition, etc.). Calculation of the formation of secondary phases on the sample surface

Model 2: Physical

One-component balance model of surface dissolution and solution diffusion into the sample

Lab Scale

Purpose:

Processing laboratory experiments and selection of parameters for prediction

Long Term Scale

Purpose:

Predicting the leaching layer thickness of the sample surface over long periods of time



Multi-component layer dissolution model

ΡΟΟΑΤΟ

Compositions 1-6

I. Kinetics of dissolution of oxides and spinel

$$r = S_A \sum_{i} A_j \cdot e^{-E_{a,i}/RT} \prod_{i} a_{i,j}^{n_i} (1 - \Omega^{p_j})^{q_j}$$

j - mechanisms corresponding to dissolution in acidic, neutral and alkaline conditions;

 S_A - specific surface area of the phase per unit mass of water (m²/kg);

 A_i - pre-exponential factor in the Arrhenius equation (mol/m²·s);

- E_a energy activation during the j-th reaction (J/mol);
- R universal gas constant (8,31446 J/mol·K);
- T temperature (degrees Kelvin);
- a_i activity of the i-th water ion;

 Ω – phase saturation ration фазы (the degree of deviation of the phase from the state of equilibrium in a specific period of time - includes the equilibrium constant of dissolution)

n, p, q - empirical coefficients.

II. Kinetics of dissolution of amorphous part

$$R = S_A \cdot k \cdot k_{inh} \cdot e^{(-E_a/RT)} \cdot (1 - \Omega) \qquad \qquad k_{inh} = \frac{1}{(1 + k_1 \cdot \sum_i M_i)^{k_2}}$$

k - reaction rate constant; k_{inh} – deceleration ratio;

 k_1 , k_2 – selectable parameters; M – number of secondary phases formed;

III. Kinetics of dissolution of PuO₂

$$R = k \cdot S_A^{0.33} \cdot T_c^{-1}$$

k - reaction speed constant; \textit{S}_{A} – specific surface; \textit{T}_{c} – grain sze;

IV. Kinetics of dissolution of Fe

$$R = S_A \cdot k \cdot (1 - \Omega)$$

k - reaction speed constant; S_A – specific surface;

Analytical model of dissolution from the surface and diffusion deep into the sample



Asymptotic solution for concentration in solution



Change in flow rate over time



Time, d

At **the initial moments** of time, the main contribution to the removal of components into the solution is played by **diffusion**.

Over time, the contribution of diffusion to the total rate of dissolution decreases. The process of **dissolution** begins to prevail.

Calculation of the equilibrium concentrations of components

T	рН												
1, 0C	9				7		4						
۰U	Si	Ca	Al	Si	Ca	Al	Si	Ca	Al				
90	458.9	108.1	111.1	443.8	102.6	107.4	442.1	102.2	107.1				
50	202.0	48.6	49.2	199.5	48.1	48.6	199.0	47.9	48.5				
25	109.2	26.4	26.6	108.7	26.3	26.5	108.6	26.2	26.5				

37

Interpretation of experiments T=50°C



Monolith samples Compositions 1-5



•••••• Model 1 "Chemical" (multicomponent kinetics and dissolution on the sample surface)

Model 2 "Physical " (kinetics and dissolution on the surface + diffusion into the sample)

Experimental

38

Hot sample No. 3 pH 7, T90°C



The rate of release of the components (**Ca**, **AI**, **Si**) from the amorphous component of the "hot" samples into the aqueous solution is comparable to the flow of these components from the "cold" samples.

However, unlike" cold " samples, **Fe, Cr, Ni, U, Zr, Pu, and Am** are intensively released into the solution. But no significant **Pu** concentration impact observed between different «hot» compositions

So, it is assumed that the intensive dissolution of the hot samples occurs due to the peculiarities of their structure and preparation modes.



Model 1 "Chemical" (multicomponent kinetics and dissolution on the sample surface)
 Model 2 "Physical " (kinetics and dissolution on the surface + diffusion into the sample)
 Experimental

Development of prediction model



FD aging: change of FD properties leading to radionuclide release from matrix to environment

FD considering as 2-phase system with different solubility



3 processes lead to FD aging and radionuclide release:

Radionuclide release from **amorphous phase** because its dissolution

Accumulation on the surface of hardly soluble dispersed aggregates consisting of **(U,Zr,Pu)O₂ oxides and spinel**;



- Low soluble oxide phase
- Secondary phase



Deposition of **secondary phases** and generation of dust fine fraction which is risk factor for safe FD treatment;

Prediction of the leaching depth and accumulation of (U, Zr, Pu)O₂ phase on the surface



Accumulation of (U, Zr, Pu)O₂ phase on the surface $N_{UZr} = \rho_{UZr} \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta l_i = \frac{\varepsilon \rho_{UZr}}{S_s \rho_{gl}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} M_i [C_{ei}] \{1 - \exp(-k_{bi} f_i S_s t)\}$





The surface transformation is characterized by the leaching of an amorphous glass phase (an alloy of Si, Al, and Ca oxides), including insoluble agglomerations of the uranium-zirconium alloy (U, Zr)O₂.

The loss of the glass phase mass during the transition of Si, Al, and Ca compounds from the solid phase to the solution leads to the formation of a mobile dissolution front I(t) on which insoluble particles (U, Zr)O₂ accumulate

Long term prediction results



(U, Zr)O₂ phase accumulation speed on surface $N_{\text{UZr}} = \rho_{\text{UZr}} \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta l_i = \frac{\varepsilon \rho_{\text{UZr}}}{S_s \rho_{gl}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} M_i [C_{ei}] \{1 - \exp(-k_{bi} f_i S_s t)\}$

$$\Delta l = \frac{N_{\rm UZr}}{\rho_{\rm UZr} \epsilon}$$

Prediction model parameters

				pl	-	
	Compone		9.0	7.0	9.0	7.0
Т∘С	nt	fi	Khi	, cm/d	C	, mol/cm ³
			DI	·	- 6	·
	Si	0.46	7.93E-03	2.89E-03	1.63E-05	1.58E-05 1.63E-05
00	AI	0.07	8.99E-02	7.98E-03	2.13E-06	2.05E-06 2.13E-06
90	Ca	0.05	1.83E-01	3.86E-02	2.69E-06	2.57E-06 2.69E-06
	Si	0.46	1.06E-03	3.78E-04	7.19E-06	7.12E-06 7.19E-06
50	AI	0.07	4.47E-03	3.89E-04	9.39E-07	9.39E-07 9.39E-07
	Ca	0.05	3.46E-02	7.02E-03	1.22E-06	1.2E-06 1.22E-06
	Si	0.46	2.26E-04	8.01E-05	3.88E-06	3.88E-06 3.88E-06
25	AI	0.07	4.52E-04	3.90E-05	5.17E-07	5.17E-07 5.17E-07
	Ca	0.05	9.77E-03	1.97E-03	6.49E-07	6.49E-07 6.49E-07

RESULTS

The maximum accumulation of (U, $Zr)O_2$ over 50 years will be observed in the conditions of the flow system at T=90°C and pH=9.

Under these conditions, the glass matrix leaching layer is 0.3 mm over 50 years. At the same time, up to 70 mg/cm2 (U, Zr)O₂ accumulates on the surface.

The chemical model shows that no secondary phase is formed under dynamic conditions.

For static conditions at T=90°C and pH=9, the etching depth will not exceed 0.03 mm in 50 years. The amount of $(U, Zr)O_2$ phase will be 7 mg/cm². In addition, according to calculations based on the chemical model, up to 360 mg / cm² of the secondary phase can be formed on the surface.

N (U-Zr), mg/cm²

Flow system



Program code

Based on the proposed physical model and conducted laboratory experiments, a program code was developed that allows to calculate the change in the properties of corium over time at different phase ratios in the pH range of 7-9 and T = $25-120 \,^{\circ}C$

On step 1

The compositions of the phases and their ratio in corium are set. The sources of the radioactive output are also indicated.



Program code

On step 2

According to the results of laboratory experiments, the relationship between the rate of release of individual components into the solution with pH and Temperature is determined.

In addition, based on the data of thermodynamic modeling, the relationship between the temperature and the equilibrium concentration is set.

$\frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}}{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k} _{\mathbf{k}}} = \frac{ \mathbf{k} - \mathbf$	he table defines the Oxide SiO2 Al2O3 CaO	relationship of mass flo Element Si	w rate, pH and	temperature	cacilli	iy param	GLGIS	(LAD	e L		3.10100030		
The table defines the relationship of mass flow rate, pH and temperature based on the results of laboratory leaching experiments $\frac{102}{1203} Si \qquad g R1 = 5.47 + 0.226 \text{ pH} - 69110 g e /R1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.006 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.006 1 \\ 20.007 1 & 20.007 1 \\ 20.007 1 $	he table defines the Oxide SiO2 Al2O3 CaO	relationship of mass flo Element Si	ow rate, pH and	d temperature									
Dxide Element ko n Ea Mel, g/mole n 102 Si Ig(R1) = 5.47 + 0.226 pH - 63110 Ig(P/R1 28.086 1 1203 Ai Ig(R1) = 6.58 + 0.533 pH - S9290 Ig(P/R1 28.086 1 203 Ca Ig(R1) = 3.79 + 0.349 pH - 60020 Ig(P/R1 26.982 1 The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debis Element Cei, mg/cm^3 1 2 T, K Mi In(Cei) = -10.11916 + 0.0219 T Mel - molar mass of the element n 0.0215 T Mel - molar mass of the element n 0.0215 T Mel - molar mass of the element 1 0.0215 T Mel - molar mass of the element 1 0.0215 T Mel - molar mass of the element R	Oxide SiO2 Al2O3 CaO	Element Si			e based	on the res	ults of	labora	atory leaching	; experiments			
$\frac{102}{1203} \text{Ai} \qquad \text{tg(Rf)} = 5.47 \text{*} 0.226 \text{pH} \text{*} 69110 \text{tg(e)/RT} \qquad 29.086 1 \\ 1203 \text{Ai} \qquad \text{tg(Rf)} = 6.58 \text{*} 0.533 \text{pH} \text{*} 92980 \text{tg(e)/RT} \qquad 26.982 2 \\ 260 C_a \qquad \text{tg(Rf)} = 3.79 \text{*} 0.349 \text{pH} \text{*} 60020 \text{tg(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 1 \\ 1 \text{ca} \text{tg(Rf)} = 3.79 \text{*} 0.349 \text{pH} \text{*} 60020 \text{tg(e)/RT} 40.078 1 \\ 1 \text{ca} \text{tg(Rf)} = 3.79 \text{*} 0.349 \text{pH} \text{*} 60020 \text{tg(e)/RT} 40.078 1 \\ 1 \text{tg(Rf)} = 4.746607 \text{*} 0.0219 \text{T} \\ 1 \text{tg(Cei)} = -10.03816 \text{*} 0.0219 \text{T} \\ 2 \text{tg(Rf)} = -10.03816 \text{*} 0.0215 \text{T} \\ 1 \text{tg(Cei)} = -10.03816 \text{*} 0.0215 \text{T} \\ 1 \text{tg(Rf)} = -10.03816 \text{*} 0.0215 \text{T} \\ 1 \text{tg(Rf)} = -1g(k_0) + \eta \text{pH} - E_a \frac{1g(e)}{RT} \\ \frac{RT}{RT} \\ 1 \text{choice} \text{the compound} \text{tg(Rf)} = 1g(k_0) + \eta \text{pH} - E_a \frac{1g(e)}{RT} \\ 1 \text{choice} \text{tg(Rf)} = 0.0216 tg(Rf)$	SiO2 Al2O3 CaO	Si		ko		η			Ea		Mel, g/mole	n	
$\frac{1203}{12a0} \qquad \text{Al} \qquad \text{Ig}(Rf) = 6.58 + 0.533 \text{ pH} - 92980 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT \qquad 26.982 \text{ 2}}{60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}$ $\frac{1203}{12a0} \qquad \text{Ca} \qquad \text{Ig}(Rf) = 3.79 + 0.349 \text{ pH} - 60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}{60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}$ $\frac{1203}{12a0} \qquad \text{Ca} \qquad \text{Ig}(Rf) = 3.79 + 0.349 \text{ pH} - 60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}{60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}$ $\frac{1202}{12a0} \qquad \text{Ca} \qquad \text{Ig}(Rf) = 3.79 \text{ Ig}(Rf) = 3.79 \text{ Ig}(Rf) = 60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}{60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}{60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 \text{ Ig}(Rf) = 60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT \qquad 40.078 \text{ 1}}{60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT} \qquad 40.078 \text{ Ig}(Rf) = 60020 \text{ Ig}(e)/RT \qquad 40.078 \text{ Ig}(Rf) = 60020 \text{ Ig}(Rf) = 6002$	Al2O3 CaO		lg(Rf) =	5.47	+	0.226	6 pH	-	69110	lg(e)/RT	28.086	1	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CaO ,	AI	lg(Rf) =	6.58	+	0.533	B pH	-	92980	lg(e)/RT	26.982	2	
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components the temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations $\frac{Element}{L} \frac{Cei, mg/cm^3 - 1}{ln(Cei)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{T, K}{7, 6607 + 0.0219 T}$ The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris $\frac{Dzide}{D2} \frac{Element}{PuD2} \frac{Mel, g/mole - n - k. Ra}{102,102}$ Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_PA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] $\frac{Mel - molar mass of the element}{R - the number of molecules in the compound}$ $\frac{Mel - molar mass of the element}{R - the number of molecules in the compound}$ $\frac{Mel - molar mass of the element}{R - the number of molecules in the compound}$ $\frac{1g(R_f) = 1g(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{1g(e)}{RT}$ $< Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Closs$		Ca	lg(Rf) =	3.79	+	0.349) pH	-	60020	lg(e)/RT	40.078	1	
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration [Cei] of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris Cei, mg/cm ³ 1 2 I, K i In[Cei] = -8.746607 + 0.0219 T I In[Cei] = -8.746607 + 0.0219 T I In[Cei] = -10.11916 + 0.0218 T ia In[Cei] = -10.03816 + 0.0215 T Mel - molar mass of the element n-the number of molecules in the compound k_PA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Mervice Next >> Mel - molar mass of the element 1g(R_f) = 1g(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{1g(e)}{RT} Ceive Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris Divide Element Mel, g/mole n k_Ra U.Z.102 Pu-238 244 1 6.33 Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Met with the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations $\frac{Element}{i} Cei, mg/cm^3 = 1 \\ 1 \\ i \\ 1 \\ in(Cei) = -8.746607 + 0.0219 T \\ 1 \\ i \\ a \\ nr(Cei) = -10.03816 + 0.0215 T \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris Distribution of the debris the table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris Distribution of the debris the debris the table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris Distribution of the debris the debris the debris the debris the debris the debris the surface activity of the debris the													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components a temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris U.Z. JO2 Pu-238 244 1 6.33 Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Mel - molar Mel - molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration [Cei] of components it emperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the													
The table defines the dependence of equilibrium concentration (Cei) of components d temperature according to the results of thermodynamic calculations The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the surface activity of the debris The table is filled only to calculate the s													
Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
$\text{Delement output of the result of the model matrices of the m$	e table defines the	dependence of equilib	rium concentra	tion (Cei) of a	compor	ents							
$\frac{\text{Element}}{\text{is}} = \frac{\text{Cei, mg/cm}^3}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -8.746607 + 0.0219 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Disting a mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0218 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 \text{ T}}$ $\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\ln(\text{Cei})} = -10.03816 \text{ H} = 0.0215 \text{ H} = $	d temperatura acca	acpendence of equilib	ham concentra	a algulationa	compor	-							
ElementCei, mg/cm^312T, KiIn(Cei) = -8.746607 + 0.0219 TIn(Cei) = -10.11916 + 0.0218 Ti.aIn(Cei) = -10.11916 + 0.0218 Ti.aIn(Cei) = -10.03816 + 0.0215 TMel - molar mass of the element+ 0.0215 Tn - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq]Mel - molar mass of the element- 1g(R_f) = 1g(k_0) + η pH - $E_a \frac{1g(e)}{RT}$ Next >>Reset to default Fukushima dataSaveLoadClose	u temperature acco	inding to the results of i	nennouynamic	calculations			l he tat	ble is h	illed only to c	alculate the su	rface activity of the c	debris	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Element	Coi malom^2	1	2	Т	· • •		Ouid	<u> </u>	Element	Mal almala		L D-
i In(Cei) = -8.746607 + 0.0219 T ii In(Cei) = -10.11916 + 0.0218 T ia In(Cei) = -10.03816 + 0.0215 T Mel-molar mass of the element 0.0215 T n- the number of molecules in the compound k_RA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close	Element	cer, mg/cm/s	<u> </u>	Z		<u>~ N</u>		UXIO	e	Element	Mei, g/mole	n	<u>K_na</u>
$\frac{1}{2a} = \frac{\ln(2ei)}{\ln(2ei)} = -10.11916 + 0.0218 T}{\ln(2ei)} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 T}$ $\frac{1}{2a} = \frac{1}{\ln(2ei)} = -10.03816 + 0.0215 T}$ $\frac{1}{2a} = \frac{1}{2a} = \frac{1}$	i	In(Cei) =	-8.746607	+ 0.0	219 T		[U,Zı	r]02					
$\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{method method me$	J	In(Cei) =	-10.11916	+ 0.0	218 T		Pu02	2	Pu	-238	244	1	6.33
$\frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\text{n - the number of molecules in the compound}} \\ \frac{\text{Mel - molar mass of the element}}{\text{k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq]}} \\ \frac{\text{Ig}(R_f) = \text{Ig}(k_0) + \eta \text{pH} - E_a \frac{\text{Ig}(e)}{RT}}{\text{R}T} \\ \frac{\text{Reset to default Fukushima data}}{\text{Save}} \\ \frac{\text{Load}}{\text{Load}} \\ \frac{\text{Closential}}{\text{Closential}} \\ \frac{\text{Reset to default Fukushima data}}{\text{R}T} \\ \frac{\text{R}T}{\text{R}T} \\ \frac{1}{1000} \\ \frac{1}{1$		I=(C=0 -	10.02010	. 0.0	01E T			_					
Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] < Previous	۰d	in(cei) =	-10.03010	+ 0.0	213								
Mel - molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ k_PA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] < Previous													
Mel - molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ < Previous													
Mel-molar mass of the element n-the number of molecules in the compound k_PA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] < Previous													
Mel - molar mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] < Previous													
Mel - molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ k_PA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
Mel - molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ Ig(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT} < Previous													
Mel - molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
Mel-molar mass of the element n-the number of molecules in the compound k_RA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] < Previous													
Mel - molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
Mel-molar mass of the element $lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{lg(e)}{RT}$ k_PA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
Met - moler mass of the element n - the number of molecules in the compound k_RA - conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] (Previous) Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load												1	- (-)
$lg(R_f) = lg(k_0) + \eta pH - E_a \frac{S(r)}{RT}$ < Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close	Mei - molar ma	ass of the element						-					g(e)
K_RA- conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] Ig(R_f) = Ig(R_0) + I[pII = L_a Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close	n - the number	of molecules in th	e compoun	Ы				10((R) =	$l_{\alpha}(k)$.	⊥ nnH _ <i>l</i>	7 1	0(-)
K_FKA - Conversion factor from [mg] to [Bq] R Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Clos		ormolecules in a						1g($(\mathbf{r}_f) =$	$\operatorname{Ig}(n_0)$	$\pm \eta p \pi - I$	a^{-}	D
< Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Clos	K_HA - convei	rsion factor from [r	ngj to [Bq]						J ,				RT
< Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
< Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
< Previous Next >> Reset to default Fukushima data Save Load Close													
< Previous Next >> Close Control Contr												Г Г	
		Next>>			R	leset to del	fault Fi	ukushi	ima data 📋	Save	Load		Clos
	< Previous												
	< Previous												

Program code

Calculation results drop-down menu to choose desired result data view: N. M. L or A

Fukushima Debris Evolution Model

On step 3

The external conditions (pH, T) and forecast time are set.

The conditions of water circulation are also determined: static or dynamic.

Based on these parameters, the program calculates:

- The accumulated mass of the poorly soluble phase on the surface of the debris, N [mg/cm²];
- Accumulated mass loss during glass dissolution, M [mg/cm²];
- The thickness of the leaching layer, L [cm];
- Accumulated activity in the dispersed fraction on the surface of the debris, A [Bq/cm²].

